BIRMINGHAM DEVELOPMENT PLAN <u>Duty to Co-operate</u>

Local Planning Authorities and other bodies party to this agreement/ understanding:

- A. Birmingham City Council (BCC)
- B. Coventry City Council (CCC)

Development Plan Document(s) covered by this agreement / understanding:

Birmingham Development Plan

Stage in the process forming part of this agreement:

Pre-Submission*

*NB: In the event of any changes to the plan prior to submission and/or as part of modifications proposed during the Examination process then updated versions of this document may be prepared.

Checklist criteria NB: this is a starting point, list to be mutually agreed between the parties to this agreement. Checklist discussed and agreed: Yes/ No	Summary status E.g.: Full or partial agreement,/ Shared understanding on area(s) of disagreement, or/ Not applicable Delete as	Summary of the approach in the plan Summary of agreed position and any outstanding concerns or other comments NB: Refer to attachments and appendices if required
a) Overall approach incl. relationship to urban and rural renaissance	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. The vision, strategic objectives and approach set out in the BDP envisages that by 2031 Birmingham will be renowned as an enterprising, innovative and green city that has delivered sustainable growth meeting the needs of its population and strengthening its global competitiveness. Following around half a century of decline in the latter half of the C20 the city's population is expected to grow rapidly extending and building on the success of the strategy for urban renaissance that has been the hallmark of planning in the city since the 1980's.
		2. Following abolition of the Regional Spatial Strategy the City Council has worked and continues to work with adjoining authorities in the GBSLEP and West Midlands Metropolitan Area and beyond not only to ensure the continuing success of urban

		renaissance but also, through the GBSLEP Strategic Spatial framework Plan, the Strategic Policy Framework for the West Midlands Metropolitan Area and local plans, to ensure that there remains an appropriate balance between growth and development to meet needs in both urban and rural areas. There are no outstanding issues in relation to the strategy set out in the BDP between the parties signatory to this document.
b) Estimation of housing requirements and the level and distribution of housing provision	Shared Understanding/	1. The Birmingham SHMA which underpins the BDP estimates a housing requirement of c80,000 net new dwellings in the period up to 2031. The 2012 SHLAA's best estimate of likely capacity without incursion into Green Belt (except at the site of the former Yardley Sewage Works) and including an allowance for c700 on land at Longbridge within Bromsgrove District is c45,000 dwellings, including allowance for windfalls. The Presubmission version of the BDP proposes that 51,100 net new dwellings - should be provided including the removal of land from the Green Belt to increase capacity within Birmingham leaving a balance to be found outside the city's boundary of c29,000 dwellings.
		2. The major issues concern the scale of the housing requirement, the extent to which capacity exists or can be identified within Birmingham's boundary and then the scale and distribution of any resultant shortfall. The BDP sets out Birmingham City Council's position in respect of these matters and it is envisaged by the parties signatory to this document that the satisfactory resolution of these issues will be achieved through (1) completion of the GBSLEP Strategic Housing Needs Study (2) Distribution of the overall housing need and the resultant 'overspill' housing through the Second Iteration of the GBSLEP Strategic Spatial Framework Plan and through arrangements negotiated with other authorities beyond the GBSLEP as justified by the evidence and (3) Subsequent accommodation of the 'overspill' growth in the review of Local Plans in adjoining areas.
		The Coventry and Warwickshire Joint Committee has endorsed and recognised the need to understand the implications of Birmingham's 'overspill' for Coventry and

		Warwickshire authorities. Through the Duty to Cooperate process, the constituent
		authorities of the Coventry and Warwickshire HMA will work jointly to ensure any overspill that is required to be met in its respective HMA will be identified in the most appropriate and sustainable locations.
Al		This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
c) Appropriate provision made for migration	Agreed/	1. The Birmingham SHMA takes account of migration in establishing the overall housing requirement and, broadly speaking, the effects of migration trends are then taken into account in the estimation of housing requirements in adjoining areas through the preparation of local plans.
		2. The migratory relationship between the two authorities shows that although there are substantial moves year on year in both directions, these are largely in balance, meaning net migration has been low and stable over a number of years. Such minimal levels of net migration are placed in greater context when compared with respective relationships with other neighbouring authorities.
	- M	The identification of a housing shortfall or 'overspill' requirement refers to potential additional housing over and above that included in population and household projections that is needed outside Birmingham's boundary in order that housing needs can be met. The process for resolution of this matter is as set out in b)2 above. This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
d) Level and distribution of employment land provision	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. The BDP identifies a serious emerging shortfall of land to accommodate future employment growth and investment. The plan addresses this issue by protecting the city's core employment areas from competing uses so they offer a continuing supply of recycled land supplemented by the release of a major new employment site (80ha) at Peddimore. Proposals for six economic zones are primarily focussed within the existing employment areas and include two Regional Investment Sites. The possible longer-term need for further

		Front Company Company Company
		strategic employments sites is to be addressed by the GBSLEP Spatial Plan for Recovery and Growth and associated technical work with adjoining LEPs. This will be informed by the joint commissioning of a Review into the West Midlands-wide need and provision of very large employment development opportunities. Coventry City Council is keen to ensure that large-scale growth, particularly with respect to inward investment, is not undermined by the BDP, and as such is taking an active part in the West Midlands Strategic Sites Study 2. This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
e) Hierarchy of	Agreed/	1 The RDP defines a retail higrarchy of
centres and the level and distribution of retail provision	Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. The BDP defines a retail hierarchy of centres in Birmingham. The approach in the BDP is to make provision for a net increase of 270,000 m² in comparison retail floorspace concentrated in the City Centre, Sutton Coldfield town centre and three District Growth Points. Growth elsewhere will be small scale.
		This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
f) Level and distribution of office provision	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	 The approach in the BDP is to encourage 745,000 m² gross of new office development in the network of centres primarily focussed in the city centre including a substantial proportion of the new office floorspace expected to be provided within the Enterprise Zone. This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
g) Appropriate provision made for public and private transport including Park & Ride and commuting patterns	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. The BDP incorporates a range of transport polices and proposals across all modes. These are consistent with the extant Local Transport Plan and emerging Birmingham Mobility Action Plan (BMAP). There are proposals to improve networks both within and beyond the boundary which will impact, for example, on modal choice for commuters including a scheme for enhancements to increase the frequency of service on the Redditch branch of the Cross-City line. Major development proposals close to the city boundary have impacts that can extend across the administrative boundary. Close

		cross-boundary co-operation on transportation matters continues through both West Midlands Shadow ITA and the associated Local Transport Boards (LTB). 2. There is no desire to increase the levels of in-commuting across the city boundary so there is an expectation that there will be a broad balance between the levels of housing and employment growth taking place in areas beyond the city boundary which is a matter to be addressed in the relevant local plans. The proposed development of HS2 is noted by both authorities. Any impacts this will have on commuting patterns and development requirements will be closely monitored. Coventry City Council will promote high quality linkages between Coventry city centre and any midlands HS2 interchange, in the event that the HS2 line is developed. This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
h) Consistency of planning policy and proposals across common boundaries such as transport links and green infrastructure	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	There is no common boundary between Coventry and Birmingham. 2.
i) Green Belt matters	Shared Understanding Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. Significant changes to the Green Belt are proposed in association with major development proposals at Langley and Peddimore to the north-east of Birmingham and at the site of the former Yardley sewage works. The changes to the Green Belt boundary have been made in such a way as to identify new boundaries that will endure in the long-term and allow for development to be accommodated that will not undermine the essential purposes or integrity of the wider West Midlands Green Belt. The City Council acknowledge that additional land which is currently designated as Green Belt in adjoining areas may need to be identified for development – as a consequence of the process to the determine the level and distribution of future growth set out under b)2 above - but the responsibility for those proposals, should they arise, will lie with the respective local planning authority (working

*

	collaboratively with other relevant authorities) to be determined through a review of the relevant local plan(s).
	2. The release of Green Belt land for development purposes must be justified by very special circumstances. This will be the case for Birmingham itself and authorities outside of Birmingham who are asked to consider delivering its overspill housing. As such, Birmingham must demonstrate without any degree of doubt that its own Green Belt opportunities have been exhausted (in accordance with wider sustainable development principles) through the development of the local plan and through SA/SEA. This should not just relate to sites per se, but site capacities as well.
	signatory to this document.
Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. As a major city Birmingham is reliant on minerals predominantly produced in adjoining shire areas to help facilitate its growth and development. The City Council recognises that it can reduce the demand for mineral extraction through effective recycling and reuse of building materials and aggregates. Similarly the City Council recognises that its 'footprint' can be reduced through self-sufficiency and vigorous adoption of the waste hierarchy. The City Council is an active member of both the West Midlands Aggregates Working Party (AWP) and the Regional Technical Advisory Body (RTAB) covering waste. Both groupings help ensure discharge of the DtC. In respect of water resources and flooding the City Council is fully aware of its responsibilities and will vigorously pursue the principles of sustainable drainage to reduce the risks of flooding both within the city and beyond it boundaries.
	2. This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	1. The City Council is committed to the improvement of air quality for its residents and those in surrounding areas. It is, and will remain an active participant in initiatives to address these matters jointly with adjoining authorities and other agencies subject to the nature of actions being consistent with the
	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable

		city's aspirations for growth. Detailed policies on air quality and noise matters will be set out in a separate Development Management DPD. 2. This approach is accepted by the parties signatory to this document.
I) Any other matters that might reasonably be identified under the Duty to Co- operate	Agreed/ Shared Understanding/ Not Applicable	No other matters identified. 2.

Log of meetings, reports and other records to substantiate the collaborative working:

working:	
	Details:
Meetings	Meetings on the Birmingham Development Plan held on 11/10/12, 13/11/12 and 25/02/14 Both Councils in attendance of a meeting of CWSAPO on 03/05/13. BCC present at inception meeting of the Coventry & Warwickshire SHMA held in Rugby Borough Council offices on 28/02/13. Both Councils in attendance of a meeting of CWS Duty to Cooperate meeting on 06/05/14.
Groups	Regular meetings: (1) Regular meetings of the Duty to Co-operate Group (previously known as PAG) in the West Midlands metropolitan area and the related officer and Member arrangements under the West Midlands Joint Committee. (2) West Midlands Planning Officers Group – Both authorities are represented on this group.
Responses to consultation and correspondence	03/03/14 – CCC response to the Birmingham Development Plan 2031 Pre Submission version 29/07/13 – BCC letter to CCC on Birmingham's Future Growth Requirements 14/01/13 – CCC response to Birmingham Development Plan – Planning for Birmingham's Growing Population Options Consultation 08/11/12 – BCC letter to CCC confirming response to Coventry Core Strategy 10/09/12 – BCC letter to CCC re Proposed Coventry Core Strategy 08/08/12 – BCC letter to CCC on Birmingham's Future Growth requirements 17/03/11 – CSWAPO response to Birmingham Core Strategy 2026 – Consultation Draft

05/02/13 – Further BCC submission re CCC Preliminary Hearing 23/02/13 - BCC submission to the Coventry Local Development Plan – Core Strategy - Preliminary Hearing Session Concerning the Duty to Cooperate.
the Duty to Cooperate.

We, the undersigned, agree that the above statements and information truly represent the joint working that has and will continue to take place under the 'Duty to Co-operate'.

Waheed Nazir

Director of Planning & Regeneration

Birmingham City Council*

Coventry City Council

Date: 6 June 2014

Date:

^{*} Must be signed by either Council Leader or responsible Cabinet Member or responsible Chief Executive or Chief Officer only. For non-local authority organisations signatory should be at equivalent level.